

**HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : JABALPUR**

**FULL BENCH - I (Time 10:30 AM)**

**Daily Cause List dated : 04-10-2018**

**BEFORE: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE & HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIJAY KUMAR SHUKLA  
& HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SUBODH ABHYANKAR**

**Court Room No.: 1**

**Note:- CASES FOR FINAL HEARING SHALL BE TAKEN UP BY ALL THE BENCHES  
IMMEDIATELY AFTER COMPLETION OF MOTION HEARING.**

**Supplementary List**

**MOTION HEARING**

**[ORDERS]**

<b>SN</b>	<b>Case No</b>	<b>Petitioner / Respondent</b>	<b>Petitioner/Respondent Advocate</b>
2	<b>WA 00880/2018</b>	OM KAR MAHOLE	AKASH CHOUDHURY, KABEER PAUL
		Versus	
		THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH	ADVOCATE GENERAL, PARITOSH TRIVEDI[R-5], TRAPTI TRIVEDI[R-5], ADITYA VYAS[R-5]
		PANCHAYAT-15500 - M.P. Panchayat Raj Adhinyam 1993-15523 - Suspension/Removal of Member/Sarpanch/Up - Sarpanch/President/Vice President Relief - FOR SETTING ASIDE THE ORDER IMPUGNED DT. 11-05-2018 {Fixed Date/SPC} THE MATTER IS REFERRED TO A LARGER BENCH TO EXAMINE THE QUESTION AS TO- "WHETHER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 40 OF THE M.P. PANCHAYAT RAJ AVAM GRAM SWARAJ ADHINIYAM, 1993 FIXING THE TIME LIMIT FOR COMPLETION OF INQUIRY AGAINST THE OFFICE- BEARER OF PANCHAYAT ARE MANDATORY PROVISIONS OR DIRECTORY PROVISIONS?"	

.....  
**TOTAL CASES : 1 (with connected matters)**

**PR (J) / R (J-I) / R(J-II)**